One-year results of the SELUTION DeNovo trial comparing a strategy of PCI with a sirolimus-eluting balloon and provisional stenting versus systematic DES implantation to treat de novo coronary lesions

### **SELUTION DeNovo Clinical Trial**

ClinicalTrials.gov ID NCT04859985

Christian Spaulding MD PhD, Simon Eccleshall MD, Florian Krackhardt MD, Kris Bogaerts PhD, Philip Urban MD PhD, for the SELUTION DeNovo Investigators



## Disclosure of Relevant Financial Relationships

Within the prior 24 months, I, Christian Spaulding, have had a financial relationship with a company producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients:

Nature of Financial Relationship	Ineligible Company			
Grant / Research Support	French Ministry of Health, CERC			
Consultant Fees / Honoraria	Medtronic Techwald, Sanofi, Novartis Sonivie, Valcare, Boston Scientific			
Individual Stock(s) / Stock Options / Salary Support	Cordis (MedAlliance), Sonivie			

All Relevant Financial Relationships have been mitigated


Faculty disclosure information can be found on the app



# **Background**

- Drug eluting stents (DES) are implanted in the vast majority of PCIs with well-known immediate and mid-term results
- Studies with long term clinical follow-up have shown a 2-4% annual adverse event rate<sup>1,2</sup>
- A drug coated balloon (DCB) approach with minimal stenting is therefore attractive
- Trials with paclitaxel DCBs have produced mixed results<sup>3,4</sup>
- The use of sirolimus on DCBs has been limited by technical difficulties

#### Very-Late Stent-Related Cardiovascular Events<sup>1</sup>





## **Study Device**

### **SELUTION SLR Drug-Eluting Balloon**




\*Device not approved and available for sale in the US



#### **MicroReservoirs**

- ~4 μm spheres of sirolimus mixed with biodegradable polymer
- Controlled release of sirolimus



### **Proprietary Phospholipid Coating**

- Phospholipid blend containing and protecting MicroReservoirs at 1 μg/mm<sup>2</sup> sirolimus dose
- Enhanced drug transfer efficiency


SELUTION SLR Drug-Eluting Balloon delivers sustained drug release that maintains therapeutic tissue concentration for 90 days<sup>1</sup>






### **Pharmacokinetics**

#### **Drug Concentration In The Target Vessel Up To 90 Days**



# SELUTION DeNovo – Study Design

Prospective, randomized, open label, multicenter, non-inferiority trial



\*TVF: target vessel failure, a composite of cardiac death, target vessel related MI and clinically driven target vessel revascularization

**Second Co-Primary endpoint** = non-inferiority for TVF at 5 years

Conditional superiority analysis if non-inferiority established



# Key Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria



### **Key Inclusion Criteria**

- ✓ All target lesions suitable for SELUTION DEB or DES treatment
- ✓ Reference Vessel Diameter ≥ 2.0 and ≤ 5.0 mm
- ✓ No limitation on number of lesions or vessels
- ✓ All target lesions are treatable with the strategy allocated by randomization



### **Key Exclusion Criteria**

- × STEMI or unstable NSTEMI
- × Left main lesion
- Saphenous or arterial graft lesion
- × Chronic total occlusion
- × In-stent restenosis
- × Previous PCI on a target vessel

### **Procedure Guidelines**

#### **SELUTION DEB Strategy**

- Mandatory 1:1 lesion pre-dilatation
- SELUTION DEB
- Minimum DEB inflation time of 30 seconds
- Use of DES in case of:
  - Residual stenosis / recoil > 30%
  - High risk dissection: Type C or greater
  - FFR < 0.8 or iFR < 0.89

#### **DES Strategy**

- Systematic DES (guidelines & local practice)
- Current generation, approved devices
- Other devices allowed if failure to deliver DES

#### **All Patients**

- Use of adjunctive devices according to operator preference:
  - Cutting, scoring, high-pressure balloons
  - Atherectomy, IVL
  - IVUS, OCT
  - FFR, iFR

- Antithrombotic regimen based on guidelines and local practice
- Staged procedures allowed if performed ≤ 45 days after the index procedure

# **Study Organization**

#### **Principal Investigators**

Christian Spaulding, MD Simon Eccleshall, MD

#### **Steering Committee**

Christian Spaulding, MD Simon Eccleshall, MD Florian Krackhardt, MD Philip Urban, MD Kris Bogaerts

#### CEC

Thierry Royer, MD (Chair) Stéphane Carlier, MD Stéphane Cook, MD Michal Hawranek, MD Jean-Lous Mas, MD

#### **Statistics**

Kris Bogaerts

#### **DSMB**

Bernhard Meier, MD (Chair) Fina Mauri, MD Sonia Petronio, MD

#### E-CRF

Zelta platform by Merative L.P., Ann Arbor, USA

# **CRO & Angiography Core Laboratory**

CERC, Massy, France

# Angiographic Upload Platform

decidemedical by ClinFlows, Bielefeld, Germany


# **Funding and Study Sponsor**

Cordis, through its affiliate, M.A. MedAlliance SA



### **SELUTION DeNovo Enrollers**

United Kingdom	A Ladwiniec A Vanezis C Maart D Hildick-Smith E Abdelaal F Keshavarzi J Trevelyan K Ratib	K Morgan N Ruparelia N Cruden N Curzen <b>P O'Kane</b> S Watkins T Johnson
France	E Puymirat G Cayla L Meunier	M Godin P Garot P Poustis
Italy	C Brigouri F Ugo	<b>G Gabrio Secco</b> M d'Amico
Germany	A Linke C Langer F Brunner D Bongiovanni F Rahimi, F Krackhardt F Edelmann	K Mashayekhi L Bruch M Halbach M Wiemer M Andrassy R Birkemeyer T Schmitz
Poland	K Skoczynski <b>M Lesiak</b>	P Wanczura



62 sites in 12 countries across Europe and Asia






# Sample Size and Statistical Analysis

#### 1 Year

Endpoint	TVF (cardiac death, TV-MI or cd-TVR)			
Assumed event rate	6% for both arms			
Non-inferiority margin	50% of overall TVF in both arms			
One-sided type I error (α)	0.025			
Power	95%			
Expected lost to follow-up	2%			
Sample size	3,326			


The primary analysis population is the full analysis set (FAS), including all randomized subjects with completed or attempted PCI, analyzed according to the intention-to-treat principle

# Example: 6% event rate Non-inferiority met





# **Study Flow – Consort Diagram**



98% 12-month compliance



### **Baseline Clinical Characteristics**

Characteristic	SELUTION DEB Strategy	DES Strategy
Number of patients	1661	1662
Age (years)	67.1 ± 9.7	66.7 ± 10.4
Female sex (%)	24.7	26.0
Medical history (%)		
Diabetes mellitus	25.6	26.1
Insulin-dependent diabetes	4.9	5.7
Hypertension	69.3	70.3
Hypercholesterolemia	65.8	64.7
Prior myocardial infarction	18.2	17.7
Prior stroke	4.2	4.4
Previous PCI	27.5	27.1
Previous CABG	2.2	2.6
Current smoker (%)	17.9	19.6
Renal failure (GFR < 60 ml/min) (%)	4.9	4.8
Congestive heart failure (%)	5.4	4.8
High bleeding risk <sup>1</sup> (%)	17.8	16.3
Acute coronary syndrome (%)	33.3	31.8
Chronic coronary syndrome (%)	66.7	68.2

**Groups are similar** 



# **Angiographic Characteristics**

Characteristic	SELUTION DEB Strategy	DES Strategy
Number of treated lesions	2243	2264
Treated lesions per patient	1.4 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.7
Patients with multivessel procedures (%)	15.8	17.1
Location of treated lesions (%)		
Left main	0.1	0.3
Left anterior descending artery	47.7	47.3
Proximal left anterior descending artery (%)	18.0	19.3
Left circumflex artery	26.7	26.4
Right coronary artery	25.6	26.3
Any device size ≥ 3.0 mm (%)	67.3	63.4
Bifurcation lesion (%)¹	32.1	30.8
Moderate or severe calcified lesion (%) <sup>1</sup>	24.6	22.4
ACC/AHA type B2 or C lesion (%) <sup>1</sup>	66.8	62.3



### **Procedural Characteristics**

Characteristic	SELUTION DEB Strategy	DES Strategy
Number of procedures	1783	1776
Staged procedure (%)	6.6	6.3
Radial access (%)	93.3	94.4
Specialty balloon per lesion (%)¹	28.5	7.9
Rotational atherectomy or IVL per lesion (%)	3.6	2.5
Intracoronary imaging per lesion (%) <sup>2</sup>	15.8	18.8
Number of devices per lesion	1.3 ± 0.6	1.2 ± 0.5
Number of devices per patient	1.7 ± 1.0	$1.6 \pm 0.9$
Nominal device diameter (mm)	$3.1 \pm 0.5$	3.1 ± 0.5
Mean inflation duration for SELUTION DEB (sec)	62.1 ± 28.9	NA
Total device length per lesion (mm)	31.6 ± 17.1	28.7 ± 15.1
Provisional device use per lesion (%)	18.1	<b>0.2</b> <sup>3</sup>
Provisional device use per patient (%)	20.7	<b>0.2</b> <sup>3</sup>
Procedure duration (min)	55 ± 32	53 ± 35



## **Primary Endpoint Results: TVF at 1-Year**

**DES Strategy**(*N* = 1,662)

4.4%

SELUTION DEB
Strategy
(N = 1,661)

5.3%


Risk Difference: 0.91%

Upper 2-sided 95% CI: 2.38%

P-value non-inferiority

0.02


#### **Non-inferiority Margin = 2.44%**



**Non-inferiority Met** 




### **Cumulative Incidence: TVF**






## **Components of Primary Endpoint (TVF)**





## **Secondary Safety Endpoints**





# **Subgroup Analysis of TVF**

Subgroup		SELUTION DEB Strategy	DES Strategy	Absolute Ris	sk Difference	AR (95% CI)	Interaction p-value
Overall		5.3%	4.4%	<u> </u>	•	0.91 (-0.55, 2.38)	
Ago > 75 yrs	No $(N = 2,525)$	5.4%	3.9%	+	• '	1.58 (-0.06, 3.23)	0.13
Age ≥ 75 yrs	Yes (N = 798)	5.0%	6.1%	-	—	-1.15 (-4.33, 2.03)	0.13
Sex	Female (N = 842)	7.4%	3.7%		•	3.65 (0.54, 6.75)	0.04
Sex	Male (N = 2,481)	4.7%	4.7%	-	<b>—</b>	0.00 (-1.66, 1.67)	0.04
Diabatas	No $(N = 2,464)$	4.6%	4.1%	<del>-  </del>	•—-	0.56 (-1.06, 2.18)	0.45
Diabetes	Yes (N = 859)	7.3%	5.3%	-	-	1.96 (-1.30, 5.23)	0.45
Himb blooding vial.	No $(N = 2,542)$	5.7%	4.0%		-	1.79 (0.12, 3.47)	0.04
High bleeding risk	Yes (N = 522)	4.9%	7.9%	-	<b>-</b>	-3.05 (-7.27, 1.17)	
A	No (N = 897)	4.2%	3.5%	-	• •	0.64 (-1.90, 3.19)	0.74
Any device size ≥ 3.0mm	Yes $(N = 2,403)$	5.6%	4.4%	<del>-  </del>		1.17 (-0.58, 2.91)	0.74
A I.: 6 4 I	No $(N = 2,064)$	4.5%	3.4%	Н	-	1.16 (-0.53, 2.86)	0.57
Any bifurcation lesions	Yes (N = 1,246)	6.5%	6.2%	-	<b>-</b>	0.23 (-2.49, 2.94)	0.57
A (> 05)	No $(N = 2,542)$	5.0%	3.5%	+	• 1	1.50 (-0.07, 3.06)	0.04
Any long lesion (≥ 25mm)	Yes (N = 759)	6.0%	6.9%	•		-0.97 (-4.47, 2.53)	0.21
Any severe or moderate calcification	No (N = 2,454)	5.3%	3.1%			2.14 (0.54, 3.73)	
	Yes (N = 865)	5.5%	8.4%	•	-	-2.87 (-6.29, 0.54)	0.01
Multivessel procedure	No (N = 2,776)	5.1%	3.5%		•	1.60 (0.09, 3.12)	0.40
	Yes (N = 547)	6.5%	8.8%	•	Non-inferiority margin	-2.31 (-6.76, 2.14)	0.10
				9 0	2.44	9	
			FAVOR	S SELUTION DEB	FAVORS DES	<del></del>	



### Limitations

- Broad inclusion criteria, but excluded STEMI, CTO, ISR, left main, and surgical grafts – dedicated trials required
- Lesion preparation reflected current European practice limited use of specialty balloons and calcification modification
- QCA analysis is ongoing
- Study performed with SELUTION DEB the results cannot be applied to other DEB / DCBs (no class effect)



### **Summary**

- SELUTION DeNovo was a large, investigator-driven, pragmatic strategy study that randomized patients before lesion preparation
- There were no acute or late safety concerns the SELUTION DEB strategy had low rates of cardiac death, lesion thrombosis, and TV-MI, similar to DES
- 80% of participants treated with the SELUTION DEB did not require a stent
- These results, with broad inclusion criteria, apply to a significant segment of PCI procedures including high-risk patients and complex lesions
- Five-year follow-up is planned to assess long-term non-inferiority and potential superiority of a SELUTION DEB strategy with minimal stenting



### Conclusion

At one year, a strategy of PCI with SELUTION DEB and provisional DES was <u>non-inferior</u> to the systematic use of DES for the primary endpoint of TVF

